

CERTAIN SUBCLASSES OF MULTIVALENT FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY NEW MULTIPLIER TRANSFORMATIONS

ERHAN DENIZ AND HALIT ORHAN

ABSTRACT. In the present paper the new multiplier transformations $\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, \mu, l)$ ($\delta, l \geq 0$, $\lambda \geq \mu \geq 0$; $p \in \mathbb{N}$) of multivalent functions is defined. Making use of the operator $\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, \mu, l)$, two new subclasses $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$ of multivalent analytic functions are introduced and investigated in the open unit disk. Some interesting relations and characteristics such as inclusion relationships, neighborhoods, partial sums, some applications of fractional calculus and quasi-convolution properties of functions belonging to each of these subclasses $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$ are investigated. Relevant connections of the definitions and results presented in this paper with those obtained in several earlier works on the subject are also pointed out.

1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

Let $\mathcal{A}(n, p)$ denote the class of functions normalized by

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (p, n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\})$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the open unit disk $\mathcal{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$.

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be analytic in $\mathcal{U}$. Then, we say that the function f is subordinate to g if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in $\mathcal{U}$ with $w(0) = 0$, $|w(z)| < 1$ such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$ ($z \in \mathcal{U}$). We denote this subordination $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$ ($z \in \mathcal{U}$). In particular, if the function g is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$, the above subordination is equivalent to $f(0) = g(0)$, $f(\mathcal{U}) \subset g(\mathcal{U})$.

For $f \in \mathcal{A}(n, p)$ given by (1.1) and $g(z)$ given by

$$(1.2) \quad g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} b_k z^k \quad (p, n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\})$$

their convolution (or Hadamard product), denoted by $(f * g)$, is defined as

$$(1.3) \quad (f * g)(z) := z^p + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k =: (g * f)(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Note that $f * g \in \mathcal{A}(n, p)$. In particular, we set

$$\mathcal{A}(p, 1) := \mathcal{A}_p, \quad \mathcal{A}(1, n) := \mathcal{A}(n), \quad \mathcal{A}(1, 1) := \mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}.$$

For a function f in $\mathcal{A}(n, p)$, we define the *multiplier transformations* $\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, \mu, l)$ as follows:

Definition 1.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}(n, p)$. For the parameters $\delta, \lambda, \mu, l \in \mathbb{R}$; $\lambda \geq \mu \geq 0$ and $\delta, l \geq 0$ define the multiplier transformations $\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, \mu, l)$ on $\mathcal{A}(n, p)$ by the following

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_p^0(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z) &= f(z) \\ (p+l)\mathcal{J}_p^1(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z) &= \lambda\mu z^2 f''(z) + (\lambda - \mu + (1-p)\lambda\mu) z f'(z) + (p(1-\lambda+\mu) + l)f(z) \\ (p+l)\mathcal{J}_p^2(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z) &= \lambda\mu z^2 [\mathcal{J}_p^1(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z)]'' + (\lambda - \mu + (1-p)\lambda\mu) z [\mathcal{J}_p^1(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z)]' \\ &\quad + (p(1-\lambda+\mu) + l)\mathcal{J}_p^1(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z) \\ \mathcal{J}_p^{\delta_1}(\lambda, \mu, l)(\mathcal{J}_p^{\delta_2}(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z)) &= \mathcal{J}_p^{\delta_2}(\lambda, \mu, l)(\mathcal{J}_p^{\delta_1}(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z)) \end{aligned}$$

for $z \in \mathcal{U}$ and $p, n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$.

If f is given by (1.1) then from the definition of the multiplier transformations $\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, \mu, l)$, we can easily see that

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l) a_k z^k$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Multiplier transformations; Analytic functions; Multivalent functions; Neighborhoods and Partial sums of analytic functions; Fractional calculus operators.

where

$$(1.6) \quad \Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l) = \left[\frac{(k-p)(\lambda\mu k + \lambda - \mu) + p + l}{p + l} \right]^\delta.$$

Remark 1.1. It should be remarked that the operator $\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, \mu, l)$ is a generalization of many other operators considered earlier. In particular, for $f \in \mathcal{A}(n, p)$ we have the following:

- (1) $\mathcal{J}_1^\delta(1, 0, 0)f(z) \equiv D^\delta f(z)$, ($\delta \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$) the Salagean differential operator [42].
- (2) $\mathcal{J}_1^\delta(\lambda, 0, 0)f(z) \equiv D_\lambda^\delta f(z)$, ($\delta \in \mathbb{N}_0$) the generalized Salagean differential operator introduced by Al-Oboudi [2].
- (3) $\mathcal{J}_1^\delta(\lambda, \mu, 0)f(z) \equiv D_{\lambda, \mu}^\delta f(z)$, the operator studied by Deniz and Orhan [18], in special case $0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda \leq 1$ the operator was studied firstly Raducanu and Orhan [39].
- (4) $\mathcal{J}_1^\delta(1, 0, l)f(z) \equiv I_l^\delta f(z)$, ($\delta \in \mathbb{N}_0$) the operator considered by Cho and Srivastava [15] and Cho and Kim [16].
- (5) $\mathcal{J}_1^\delta(1, 0, 1)f(z) \equiv I^\delta f(z)$, ($\delta \in \mathbb{N}_0$) the operator investigated by Uralegaddi and Somonatha [54].
- (6) $\mathcal{J}_1^\delta(\lambda, 0, 0)f(z) \equiv D_\lambda^\delta f(z)$, ($\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$) the operator studied by Acu and Owa [1].
- (7) $\mathcal{J}_1^\delta(\lambda, 0, l)f(z) \equiv I(\delta, \lambda, l)f(z)$, ($\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$) the operator introduced by Cataş [11].
- (8) $\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(1, 0, 0)f(z) \equiv D_p^\delta f(z)$, ($\delta \in \mathbb{N}_0$) the operator considered by Shenan *et al.* [45].
- (9) $\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, 0, 0)f(z) \equiv D_{\lambda, p}^\delta f(z)$, ($\delta \in \mathbb{N}_0$) the operator investigated by Kwon [25].
- (10) $\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(1, 0, l)f(z) \equiv I_p(\delta, l)f(z)$, the operator considered by Kumar *et al.* [48].
- (11) $\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, 0, l)f(z) \equiv I_p(\delta, \lambda, l)f(z)$, the operator studied recently by Cataş *et al.* [12].

For special values of parameters λ, μ, l and p , from the operator $\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, \mu, l)$ the following new operators can be obtained:

- $\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, \mu, 1) \equiv \mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, \mu)$
- $\mathcal{J}_1^\delta(\lambda, \mu, l) \equiv \mathcal{J}^\delta(\lambda, \mu, l)$.

Now, by making use of the operator $\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, \mu, l)$, we define a new subclass of functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{A}(n, p)$.

Definition 1.2. Let $\lambda \geq \mu \geq 0$; $l, \delta \geq 0$; $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and for the parameters σ, A and B such that

$$(1.7) \quad -1 \leq A < B \leq 1, \quad 0 < B \leq 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq \sigma < p,$$

we say that a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n, p)$ is in the class $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$ if it satisfies the following subordination condition:

$$(1.8) \quad \frac{1}{p - \sigma} \left(\frac{[\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z)]'}{z^{p-1}} - \sigma \right) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

If the following inequality holds true,

$$(1.9) \quad \left| \frac{\frac{[\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z)]'}{z^{p-1}} - p}{B \frac{[\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z)]'}{z^{p-1}} - [pB + (A - B)(p - \sigma)]} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

the inequality (1.9) is equivalent the subordination condition (1.8).

We note that by specializing the parameters $\lambda, \mu, l, \delta, \sigma, A, B$ and p , the subclass $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$ reduces to several well-known subclasses of analytic functions. These subclasses are:

- (1) $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^0(-1, 1; 0, 1) \equiv \mathcal{P}_{0, 0, l}^1(-1, 1; 0, 1) = \mathcal{R}$ (see Mac-Gregor [31]);
- (2) $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^0(A, B; \sigma, p) \equiv \mathcal{P}_{0, 0, l}^1(A, B; \sigma, p) \equiv \mathcal{S}_p(A, B, \sigma)$ (see Aouf [3]);
- (3) $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^0(-1, 1; \sigma, p) \equiv \mathcal{P}_{0, 0, l}^1(-1, 1; \sigma, p) \equiv \mathcal{S}_p(\sigma)$ (see Owa [35]);
- (4) $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^0(-1, 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}; 0, 1) \equiv \mathcal{P}_{0, 0, l}^1(-1, 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}; 0, 1) \equiv \mathcal{S}(\alpha)$ ($\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$) (see Goel [20]);
- (5) $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^0(-1, 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}; 0, p) \equiv \mathcal{P}_{0, 0, l}^1(-1, 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}; 0, p) \equiv \mathcal{S}_p(\alpha)$ ($\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$) (see Sohi [49]);
- (6) $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^0(A, B; 0, p) \equiv \mathcal{P}_{0, 0, l}^1(A, B; 0, p) \equiv \mathcal{S}_p(A, B)$ (see Chen [13]);
- (7) $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^0(A, B; 0, 1) \equiv \mathcal{P}_{0, 0, l}^1(A, B; 0, 1) \equiv \mathcal{R}(A, B)$, ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$) (see Mehrook [32]);
- (8) $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^0(-\gamma, \gamma; 0, 1) \equiv \mathcal{P}_{0, 0, l}^1(-\gamma, \gamma; 0, 1) \equiv \mathcal{R}_{(\gamma)}$, ($0 < \gamma \leq 1$) (see Padmanabhan [38] and Caplinger and Causey [10]);
- (9) $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^0((2\beta - 1)\gamma, \gamma; 0, 1) \equiv \mathcal{P}_{0, 0, l}^1((2\beta - 1)\gamma, \gamma; 0, 1) \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\beta, \gamma}$, ($0 \leq \beta < 1$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$) (see Juneja and Mogra [23]);
- (10) $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^0((2a - 1)b, b; 0, p) \equiv \mathcal{P}_{0, 0, l}^1((2a - 1)b, b; 0, p) \equiv \mathcal{S}_p(a, b)$, ($0 \leq a < 1$, $0 < b \leq 1$) (see Owa [36]);
- (11) $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^0((\gamma - 1)\beta, \alpha\beta; 0, 1) \equiv \mathcal{P}_{0, 0, l}^1((\gamma - 1)\beta, \alpha\beta; 0, 1) \equiv \mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$) (see Kim and Lee [24]).

Furthermore, we say that a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$ is in the subclass $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$ if $f(z)$ is of the following form:

$$(1.10) \quad f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} |a_k| z^k \quad (p, n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}).$$

Thus, by specializing the parameters $\lambda, \mu, l, \delta, \sigma, A, B$ and p , we obtain the following familiar subclasses of analytic functions in $\mathcal{U}$ with *negative* coefficients:

- (1) $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^0(-1, 1, ; \alpha, 1) \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{0, 0, l}^1(-1, 1, ; \alpha, 1) \equiv \mathcal{P}^*(\alpha)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) (see for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ Sarangi and Uralegaddi [43] and for $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ Sekine and Owa [44]);
- (2) $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^0((\gamma - 1)\beta, \alpha\beta; 0, 1) \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{0, 0, l}^1((\gamma - 1)\beta, \alpha\beta; 0, 1) \equiv \mathcal{L}^*(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma < 1$) (see Kim and Lee [24]);
- (3) $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^0(A, B; \sigma, p) \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{0, 0, l}^1(A, B; \sigma, p) \equiv \mathcal{P}^*(p, A, B, \sigma)$ (see Aouf [4]);
- (4) $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^0(-\beta, \beta; 0, 1) \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{0, 0, l}^1(-\beta, \beta; 0, 1) \equiv \mathcal{D}^*(\beta)$ ($0 < \beta \leq 1$) (see Kim and Lee [24]);
- (5) $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^0(-\beta, \beta; \sigma, 1) \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{0, 0, l}^1(-\beta, \beta; \sigma, 1) \equiv \mathcal{P}^*(\sigma, \beta)$ ($0 \leq \sigma < p, 0 < \beta \leq 1$) (see Gupta and Jain [22]);
- (6) $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^0(-\beta, \beta; \alpha, p) \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{0, 0, l}^1(-\beta, \beta; \alpha, p) \equiv \mathcal{P}_p^*(\alpha, \beta)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < p, 0 < \beta \leq 1$) (see Aouf [6]);
- (7) $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^0(A, B; 0, p) \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{0, 0, l}^1(A, B; 0, p) \equiv \mathcal{P}^*(p, A, B)$ (see Shukla and Dashrath [46]);
- (8) $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^0(-1, 1; \sigma, p) \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{0, 0, l}^1(-1, 1; \sigma, p) \equiv \mathcal{F}_p(1, \beta)$ ($0 \leq \sigma < p, p \in \mathbb{N}$) (see for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ Lee et al. [26] and for $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ Yaguchi et al. [55]);
- (9) $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^0((2a - 1)b, b; 0, p) \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{0, 0, l}^1((2a - 1)b, b; 0, p) \equiv \mathcal{T}_p(a, b)$ ($0 \leq a < 1, 0 < b \leq 1$) (see Owa [36]);

In our present paper, we shall make use of the familiar *integral operator* $\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta, p}$ defined by (see, for details, [9, 27, 30]; see also [54])

$$(1.11) \quad (\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta, p})(z) := \frac{\vartheta + p}{z^p} \int_0^z t^{\vartheta-1} f(t) dt \quad f \in \mathcal{A}(n, p); \quad \vartheta + p > 0; \quad p \in \mathbb{N}$$

as well as the fractional calculus operator $\mathcal{D}_z^\nu$ for which it is well known that (see, for details, [37, 50] and [53]; see also Section 7)

$$(1.12) \quad \mathcal{D}_z^\nu \{z^p\} = \frac{\Gamma(\rho + 1)}{\Gamma(\rho + 1 - \nu)} z^{\rho - \nu} \quad (\rho > -1; \quad \nu \in \mathbb{R})$$

in terms of Gamma function.

The main object of the present paper is to investigate the various important properties and characteristics of two subclasses of $\mathcal{A}(n, p)$ of normalized analytic functions in $\mathcal{U}$ with negative and positive coefficients, which are introduced here by making use of the multiplier transformations $\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, \mu, l)$ defined by (1.4). Inclusion relationships for the class $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$ are investigated by applying the techniques of convolution. Furthermore, several properties involving generalized neighborhoods and partial sums for functions belonging to these subclasses are investigated. We also derive many results for the Quasi-convolution of functions belonging to the class $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$. Finally, some applications of fractional calculus operators are considered. Relevant connections of the definitions and results presented here with those obtained in several earlier works are also pointed out.

Remark 1.2. Throughout our present investigation, we tacitly assume that the parametric constraints listed in (1.6), (1.7) and Definition 1.1 are satisfied.

2. INCLUSION PROPERTIES OF THE FUNCTION CLASS $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$

For proving our first inclusion result, we shall need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 (See Fejer [19] or Ruscheweyh [41]). Assume $a_1 = 1$ and $a_m \geq 0$ for $m \geq 2$, such that $\{a_m\}$ is a convex decreasing sequence, i.e., $a_m - 2a_{m+1} + a_{m+2} \geq 0$ and $a_{m+1} - a_{m+2} \geq 0$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$(2.1) \quad \Re \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m z^{m-1} \right\} > \frac{1}{2}$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

Lemma 2.2 (See Liu [28]). Let $-1 \leq A_2 \leq A_1 < B_1 \leq B_2 \leq 1$. Then, we can write the following subordination result:

$$\frac{1 + B_1 z}{1 + A_1 z} \prec \frac{1 + B_2 z}{1 + A_2 z}.$$

Lemma 2.3. If $\left[\lambda - \mu \geq \frac{l+p}{2p} \text{ or } \lambda = \mu = 0 \right]$, then $\Re \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Phi_p^k(1, \lambda, \mu, l)} z^{k-p} \right\} > \frac{1}{2}$ for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. Define:

$$q(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Phi_p^{k+n+p-2}(1, \lambda, \mu, l)} z^{k+n-2} = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_k z^{k+n-2}$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad B_k = \frac{1}{\Phi_p^{k+n+p-2}(1, \lambda, \mu, l)} = \frac{p+l}{(k+n-2)(\lambda\mu(k+n+p-2) + \lambda - \mu) + p+l}$$

for all $n, p \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$.

Since the values k , l , p , λ and μ are positive, we have $B_k > 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We can easily find that

$$(2.3) \quad B_{k+1} = \frac{p+l}{(k+n-1)(\lambda\mu(k+n+p-1) + \lambda - \mu) + p+l}$$

$$(2.4) \quad B_{k+2} = \frac{p+l}{(k+n)(\lambda\mu(k+n+p) + \lambda - \mu) + p+l}$$

and thus from (2.3) and (2.4), we can see that

$$B_{k+1} - B_{k+2} \geq 0$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Next, we show that the inequality

$$(2.5) \quad B_k - 2B_{k+1} + B_{k+2} \geq 0$$

holds for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Using (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} B_k - 2B_{k+1} + B_{k+2} &= \frac{p+l}{(k+n-2)(\lambda\mu(k+n+p-2) + \lambda - \mu) + p+l} \\ &\quad - 2\frac{p+l}{(k+n-1)(\lambda\mu(k+n+p-1) + \lambda - \mu) + p+l} \\ &\quad + \frac{p+l}{(k+n)(\lambda\mu(k+n+p) + \lambda - \mu) + p+l} \\ &= \frac{2(\lambda\mu)^2 [3(k+n)(k+n+p-2) + p^2 - 3p + 2]}{C_2 C_1 C_0} \\ &\quad + \frac{2\lambda\mu [3(\lambda - \mu)(k+n-1) + p(2(\lambda - \mu) - 1) - l] + (\lambda - \mu)^2}{C_2 C_1 C_0} \end{aligned}$$

where $C_i = [(k+n-i)(\lambda\mu(k+n+p-i) + \lambda - \mu) + p+l]$ and from the hypothesis of Lemma 2.3, we deduce that (2.5) holds for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus the sequence $\{B_k\}$ is convex decreasing and by Lemma 2.1 we obtain that

$$\Re\{q(z)\} = \Re\left\{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_k z^{k+n-2}\right\} = \Re\left\{1 + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Phi_p^k(1, \lambda, \mu, l)} z^{k-p}\right\} > \frac{1}{2}$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$. The proof of Lemma 2.3 is completed.

Theorem 2.1. If $\left[\lambda - \mu \geq \frac{l+p}{2p} \text{ or } \lambda = \mu = 0\right]$ and $\delta \geq 0$, then

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta+1}(A, B; \sigma, p) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta}(A, B; \sigma, p).$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta+1}(A, B; \sigma, p)$. Using the definition of $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta+1}(A, B; \sigma, p)$ we obtain that

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{1}{p-\sigma} \left(\frac{z[\mathcal{J}_p^{\delta+1}(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z)]'}{z^p} - \sigma \right) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Applying definition of $\mathcal{J}_p^{\delta}(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z)$ and the properties of convolution we find that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{z[\mathcal{J}_p^{\delta}(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z)]'}{pz^p} \\ &= \left(1 + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Phi_p^k(1, \lambda, \mu, l)} z^{k-p}\right) * \left(1 + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k}{p} \Phi_p^k(\delta+1, \lambda, \mu, l) a_k z^{k-p}\right) \\ &= \left(1 + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Phi_p^k(1, \lambda, \mu, l)} z^{k-p}\right) * \left(\frac{z[\mathcal{J}_p^{\delta+1}(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z)]'}{pz^p}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from the last equalities and (1.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{p-\sigma} \left(\frac{[\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z)]'}{z^{p-1}} - \sigma \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{p-\sigma} \left\{ p \left[\left(1 + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Phi_p^k(1, \lambda, \mu, l)} z^{k-p} \right) * \left(\frac{z[\mathcal{J}_p^{\delta+1}(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z)]'}{pz^p} \right) \right] - \sigma \right\} \\
&= \left(1 + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Phi_p^k(1, \lambda, \mu, l)} z^{k-p} \right) * \frac{1}{p-\sigma} \left(\frac{z[\mathcal{J}_p^{\delta+1}(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z)]'}{z^p} - \sigma \right) \\
&= q(z) * \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where $|w(z)| < 1$ and $w(0) = 0$. From the Herglotz theorem and Lemma 2.3 we thus obtain

$$q(z) = \int_{|x|=1} \frac{d\varpi(x)}{1-xz} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

when $\varpi(x)$ is a probability measure on the unit circle $|x| = 1$, that is

$$\int_{|x|=1} d\varpi(x) = 1.$$

It follows from (2.8) that

$$\frac{1}{p-\sigma} \left(\frac{z[\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z)]'}{z^p} - \sigma \right) = \int_{|x|=1} \frac{1 + Axz}{1 + Bxz} d\varpi(x) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}$$

because $\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ is convex univalent in $\mathcal{U}$. Hence we conclude that

$$\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta+1}(A, B; \sigma, p) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p),$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.2. If $\delta \geq 0$ and $-1 \leq A_2 \leq A_1 < B_1 \leq B_2 \leq 1$, then

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta+1}(B_1, A_1; \sigma, p) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(B_2, A_2; \sigma, p).$$

Proof. Making use of Lemma 2.2, we can write

$$\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(B_1, A_1; \sigma, p) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(B_2, A_2; \sigma, p).$$

Using (2.6) and (2.9), we have

$$\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta+1}(B_1, A_1; \sigma, p) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(B_1, A_1; \sigma, p) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(B_2, A_2; \sigma, p)$$

so, we obtain

$$\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta+1}(B_1, A_1; \sigma, p) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(B_2, A_2; \sigma, p).$$

Thus, the proof is complete.

3. BASIC PROPERTIES OF THE FUNCTION CLASS $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$

We first determine a necessary and sufficient condition for a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n, p)$ of the form (1.10) to be in the class $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$.

Theorem 3.1. Let the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n, p)$ be defined by (1.10). Then the function $f(z)$ is in the class $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$ if and only if

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l) |a_k| \leq (B-A)(p-\sigma)$$

where $\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)$ is given by (1.6).

Proof. If the condition (3.1) hold true, we find from (1.10) and (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| [\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z)]' - pz^{p-1} \right| - \left| B[\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z)]' - z^{p-1}[pB + (A - B)(p - \sigma)] \right| \\ &= \left| - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l) |a_k| z^{k-1} \right| - \left| (B - A)(p - \sigma)z^{p-1} - B \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l) |a_k| z^{k-1} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k(1 + B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l) |a_k| - (B - A)(p - \sigma) \leq 0 \quad (z \in \partial\mathcal{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| = 1\}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the *Maximum Modulus Theorem*, we have

$$f(z) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p).$$

Conversely, assume that the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.10) is in the class $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\frac{[\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z)]'}{z^{p-1}} - p}{B \frac{[\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z)]'}{z^{p-1}} - [pB + (A - B)(p - \sigma)]} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l) |a_k| z^{k-p}}{(B - A)(p - \sigma)z^{p-1} - B \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l) |a_k| z^{k-p}} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Now, since $|\Re(z)| \leq |z|$ for all z , we have

$$(3.3) \quad \Re \left(\frac{\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l) |a_k| z^{k-p}}{(B - A)(p - \sigma)z^{p-1} - B \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l) |a_k| z^{k-p}} \right) < 1.$$

We choose values of z on the real axis so that the following expression:

$$\frac{[\mathcal{J}_p^\delta(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z)]'}{z^{p-1}}$$

is real. Then, upon clearing the denominator in (3.3) and letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$ through real values, we get the following inequality

$$\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k(1 + B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l) |a_k| \leq (B - A)(p - \sigma).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Remark 3.1. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$ is contained in the function class $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$, a sufficient condition for $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) to be in the class $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$ is that it satisfies the condition (3.1) of Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.1. Let the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n, p)$ be defined by (1.10). If the function $f(z) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$, then

$$(3.4) \quad |a_k| \leq \frac{(B - A)(p - \sigma)}{k(1 + B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} \quad (k, p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$(3.5) \quad f(z) = z^p - \frac{(B - A)(p - \sigma)}{k(1 + B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} z^k \quad (k, p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

We next prove the following growth and distortion properties for the class $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$.

Remark 3.2.

- (1) Putting $A = -\beta$, $B = \beta$, $\delta = 0$ and $\sigma = \alpha$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the corresponding result given earlier by Aouf [6].
- (2) Putting $A = (\gamma - 1)\beta$, $B = \alpha\beta$, $\delta = 0$, $p = n = 1$ and $\sigma = 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain result of Kim and Lee [24].
- (3) Putting $\delta = 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain Theorem 1 in [4].
- (4) Putting $A = (2a - 1)b$, $B = b$, $\delta = 0$, $n = 1$ and $\sigma = 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we arrive at the Theorem of Owa [36].
- (5) Putting $\delta = 0$ and $\sigma = 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the corresponding result due to Shukla and Dashrath [46].

Theorem 3.2. If a function $f(z)$ be defined by (1.10) is in the class $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{p!}{(p - q)!} - \frac{(B - A)(p - \sigma)(n + p - 1)!}{(1 + B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)(n + p - q)!} |z|^n \right) |z|^{p-q} \\ &\leq \left| f^{(q)}(z) \right| \leq \left(\frac{p!}{(p - q)!} + \frac{(B - A)(p - \sigma)(n + p - 1)!}{(1 + B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)(n + p - q)!} |z|^n \right) |z|^{p-q} \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

for $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $p > q$ and all $z \in \mathcal{U}$. The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$(3.7) \quad f(z) = z^p - \frac{(B-A)(p-\sigma)}{(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} z^{n+p} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\frac{(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\sigma)(n+p)!} \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k! |a_k| \leq \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\sigma)} |a_k| \leq 1,$$

which readily yields

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k! |a_k| \leq \frac{(B-A)(p-\sigma)(n+p-1)!}{(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} \quad (k, p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Now, by differentiating both sides of (1.10) q -times with respect to z , we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad f^{(q)}(z) = \frac{p!}{(p-q)!} z^{p-q} - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(k-q)!} a_k z^{k-q} \quad (q \in \mathbb{N}_0; p > q).$$

Theorem 3.2 follows readily from (3.8) and (3.9).

Finally, it is easy to see that the bounds in (3.6) are attained for the function $f(z)$ given by (3.7).

4. INCLUSION RELATIONS INVOLVING NEIGHBORHOODS

Following the earlier investigations (based upon the familiar concept of neighborhoods of analytic functions) by Goodman [21], Ruscheweyh [40] and others including Srivastava *et al.* [50, 52], Orhan [33, 34], Deniz *et al.* [17], Aouf *et al.* [8] (see also [11]).

Firstly, we define the (n, η) -neighborhood of function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n, p)$ of the form (1.1) by means of Definition 4.1 below.

Definition 4.1. For $\eta > 0$ and a non-negative sequence $\mathcal{S} = \{s_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, where

$$(4.1) \quad s_k := \frac{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\sigma)} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The (n, η) -neighborhood of a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n, p)$ of the form (1.1) is defined as follows:

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{N}_{n,p}^{\eta}(f) := \left\{ g : g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} b_k z^k \in \mathcal{A}(n, p) \text{ and } \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} s_k |b_k - a_k| \leq \eta \ (\eta > 0) \right\}.$$

For $s_k = k$, Definition 4.1 would correspond to the $\mathcal{N}_{\eta}$ -neighborhood considered by Ruscheweyh [40]. Our first result based upon the familiar concept of neighborhood defined by (4.2).

Theorem 4.1. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta}(A, B; \sigma, p)$ be given by (1.1). If f satisfies the inclusion condition:

$$(4.3) \quad (f(z) + \varepsilon z^p)(1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta}(A, B; \sigma, p) \quad (\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}; |\varepsilon| < \eta; \eta > 0),$$

then

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{N}_{n,p}^{\eta}(f) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta}(A, B; \sigma, p).$$

Proof. It is not difficult to see that a function f belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta}(A, B; \sigma, p)$ if and only if

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{[\mathcal{J}_p^{\delta}(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z)]' - pz^{p-1}}{B[\mathcal{J}_p^{\delta}(\lambda, \mu, l)f(z)]' - z^{p-1}[pB + (A-B)(p-\sigma)]} \neq \tau \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; \tau \in \mathbb{C}, |\tau| = 1),$$

which is equivalent to

$$(4.6) \quad (f * h)(z) / z^p \neq 0 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

where for convenience,

$$(4.7) \quad h(z) := z^p + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} c_k z^k = z^p + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k(1+\tau B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{\tau(B-A)(p-\sigma)} z^k.$$

We easily find from (4.7) that

$$(4.8) \quad |c_k| \leq \left| \frac{k(1+\tau B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{\tau(B-A)(p-\sigma)} \right| \leq \frac{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\sigma)} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Furthermore, under the hypotheses of theorem, (4.3) and (4.6) yields the following inequalities:

$$\frac{((f(z) + \varepsilon z^p)(1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}) * h(z)}{z^p} \neq 0 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

or

$$\frac{f(z) * h(z)}{z^p} \neq \varepsilon \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

which is equivalent to the following:

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{f(z) * h(z)}{z^p} \geq \eta \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; \eta > 0).$$

Now, if we let

$$g(z) := z^p + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} b_k z^k \in \mathcal{N}_{n,p}^{\eta}(f),$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(f(z) - g(z)) * h(z)}{z^p} \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} (a_k - b_k) c_k z^{k-p} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\sigma)} |a_k - b_k| |z|^{k-p} < \eta \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; \eta > 0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any complex number τ such that $|\tau| = 1$, we have

$$(g * h)(z) / z^p \neq 0 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

which implies that $g \in \mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta}(A, B; \sigma, p)$. The proof is complete.

We now define the (n, η) -neighborhood of a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n, p)$ of the form (1.10) as follows:

Definition 4.2. For $\eta > 0$, the (n, η) -neighborhood of a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n, p)$ of the form (1.10) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{n,p}^{\eta}(f) := & \left\{ g : g(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} b_k z^k \in \mathcal{A}(n, p) \text{ and} \right. \\ & \left. \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\sigma)} ||b_k| - |a_k|| \leq \eta \quad (\eta > 0) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Next, we prove

Theorem 4.2. If the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.10) is in the class $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta+1}(A, B; \sigma, p)$, then

$$(4.11) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{n,p}^{\eta}(f) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta}(A, B; \sigma, p)$$

where

$$\eta := \frac{n[\lambda\mu(n+p) + \lambda - \mu]}{n[\lambda\mu(n+p) + \lambda - \mu] + p + l}.$$

The result is the best possible in the sense that η cannot be increased.

Proof. For a function $f(z) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta+1}(A, B; \sigma, p)$ of the form (1.10) Theorem 3.1 immediately yields

$$(4.12) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\sigma)} |a_k| \leq \frac{p+l}{n[\lambda\mu(n+p) + \lambda - \mu] + p + l}.$$

Similarly, by taking

$$g(z) := z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} |b_k| z^k \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{n,p}^{\eta}(f) \quad \left(\eta = \frac{n[\lambda\mu(n+p) + \lambda - \mu]}{n[\lambda\mu(n+p) + \lambda - \mu] + p + l} \right),$$

we find from the definition (4.10) that

$$(4.13) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\sigma)} ||b_k| - |a_k|| \leq \eta \quad (\eta > 0).$$

With the help of (4.12) and (4.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\sigma)} |b_k| &\leq \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\sigma)} |b_k| \\
&+ \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\sigma)} ||b_k| - |a_k|| \\
&+ \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\sigma)} ||b_k| - |a_k|| \\
&\leq \frac{p+l}{n[\lambda\mu(n+p) + \lambda - \mu] + p + l} + \eta = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, in view of the Theorem 3.1 again, we see that $g(z) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta+1}(A, B; \sigma, p)$.

To show the sharpness of the assertion of Theorem 4.2, we consider the functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ given by

$$(4.14) \quad f(z) = z^p - \left[\frac{(B-A)(p-\sigma)}{(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta+1, \lambda, \mu, l)} \right] z^{n+p} \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta+1}(A, B; \sigma, p)$$

and

$$(4.15) \quad g(z) = z^p - \left[\frac{(B-A)(p-\sigma)}{(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta+1, \lambda, \mu, l)} + \frac{(B-A)(p-\sigma)}{(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} \eta^* \right] z^{n+p}$$

where $\eta^* > \eta$.

Clearly, the function $g(z)$ belong to $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{n,p}^{\eta^*}(f)$. On the other hand, we find from Theorem 3.1 that $g(z) \notin \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta}(A, B; \sigma, p)$. This evidently completes the proof of Theorem 4.2.

5. PARTIAL SUMS OF THE FUNCTION CLASS $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta}(A, B; \sigma, p)$

Following the earlier work by Silverman [47] and recently Liu [29] and Deniz *et al.* [17], in this section we investigate the ratio of real parts of functions involving (1.10) and its sequence of partial sums defined by

$$(5.1) \quad \kappa_m(z) = \begin{cases} z^p, & m = 1, 2, \dots, n+p-1; \\ z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^m |a_k| z^k, & m = n+p, n+p+1, \dots \end{cases} \quad (k \geq n+p; n, p \in \mathbb{N})$$

and determine sharp lower bounds for $\Re\{f(z)/\kappa_m(z)\}$, $\Re\{\kappa_m(z)/f(z)\}$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}(n, p)$ and $\kappa_m(z)$ be given by (1.10) and (5.1), respectively. Suppose also that

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \theta_k |a_k| \leq 1 \quad \left(\text{where } \theta_k = \frac{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\sigma)} \right).$$

Then for $m \geq k+p$, we have

$$(5.3) \quad \Re\left(\frac{f(z)}{\kappa_m(z)}\right) > 1 - \frac{1}{\theta_{m+1}}$$

and

$$(5.4) \quad \Re\left(\frac{\kappa_m(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \frac{\theta_{m+1}}{1 + \theta_{m+1}}.$$

The results are sharp for every m with the extremal functions given by

$$(5.5) \quad f(z) = z^p - \frac{1}{\theta_{m+1}} z^{m+1}.$$

Proof. Under the hypothesis of the theorem, we can see from (5.2) that

$$\theta_{k+1} > \theta_k > 1 \quad (k \geq n+p).$$

Therefore, we have

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^m |a_k| + \theta_{m+1} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \theta_k |a_k| \leq 1$$

by using hypothesis (5.2) again.

Upon setting

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(z) &= \theta_{m+1} \left[\frac{f(z)}{\kappa_m(z)} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\theta_{m+1}} \right) \right] \\ &= 1 - \frac{\theta_{m+1} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| z^{k-p}}{1 - \sum_{k=n+p}^m |a_k| z^{k-p}}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.7}$$

By applying (5.6) and (5.7), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \frac{\omega(z) - 1}{\omega(z) + 1} \right| &= \left| \frac{-\theta_{m+1} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| z^{k-p}}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=n+p}^m |a_k| z^{k-p} - \theta_{m+1} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| z^{k-p}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\theta_{m+1} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| z^{k-p}}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=n+p}^m |a_k| z^{k-p} - \theta_{m+1} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| z^{k-p}} \leq 1 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; k \geq n+p),\end{aligned}\tag{5.8}$$

which shows that $\Re(\omega(z)) > 0$ ($z \in \mathcal{U}$). From (5.7), we immediately obtain the inequality (5.3).

To see that the function f given by (5.5) gives the sharp result, we observe for $z \rightarrow 1^-$ that

$$\frac{f(z)}{\kappa_m(z)} = 1 - \frac{1}{\theta_{m+1}} z^{m-p+1} \rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{\theta_{m+1}},$$

which shows that the bound in (5.3) is the best possible.

Similarly, if we put

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(z) &= (1 + \theta_{m+1}) \left[\frac{\kappa_m(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{\theta_{m+1}}{1 + \theta_{m+1}} \right] \\ &= 1 + \frac{(1 + \theta_{m+1}) \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| z^{k-p}}{1 - \sum_{k=n+p}^m |a_k| z^{k-p}},\end{aligned}\tag{5.9}$$

and make use of (5.6), we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \frac{\phi(z) - 1}{\phi(z) + 1} \right| &= \left| \frac{(1 + \theta_{m+1}) \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| z^{k-p}}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=n+p}^m |a_k| z^{k-p} + (\theta_{m+1} - 1) \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| z^{k-p}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{(1 + \theta_{m+1}) \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| z^{k-p}}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=n+p}^m |a_k| z^{k-p} - (\theta_{m+1} - 1) \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| z^{k-p}} \leq 1 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; k \geq n+p),\end{aligned}\tag{5.10}$$

which leads us immediately to assertion (5.4) of the theorem.

The bound in (5.4) is sharp with the extremal function given by (5.5). The proof of theorem is thus completed.

6. PROPERTIES ASSOCIATED WITH QUASI-CONVOLUTION

In this part, we establish certain results concerning the Quasi-convolution of function is in the class $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta}(A, B; \sigma, p)$.

For the functions $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n, p)$ given by

$$(6.1) \quad f_j(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} |a_{k,j}| z^k \quad (j = \overline{1, m}, p \in \mathbb{N}),$$

we denote by $(f_1 \bullet f_2)(z)$ the Quasi-convolution of functions $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$, that is,

$$(6.2) \quad (f_1 \bullet f_2)(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} |a_{k,1}| |a_{k,2}| z^k.$$

Theorem 6.1. If $f_j(z) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta}(A, B; \sigma_j, p)$ ($j = \overline{1, m}$), then

$$(6.3) \quad (f_1 \bullet f_2 \bullet \dots \bullet f_m)(z) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta}(A, B; \Upsilon, p),$$

where

$$(6.4) \quad \Upsilon := p - \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m (B - A)(p - \sigma_j)}{(B - A)[(n + p)(1 + B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)]^{m-1}}.$$

The result is sharp for the functions $f_j(z)$ given by

$$(6.5) \quad f_j(z) = z^p - \frac{(B - A)(p - \sigma_j)}{(n + p)(1 + B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} z^{p+n} \quad (j = \overline{1, m}).$$

Proof. For $m = 1$, we see that $\Upsilon = \sigma_1$. For $m = 2$, Theorem 3.1 gives

$$(6.6) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\sigma_j)} |a_{k,j}| \leq 1 \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

Therefore, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$(6.7) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{\sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^2 (B-A)(p-\sigma_j)}} \sqrt{|a_{k,1}| |a_{k,2}|} \leq 1.$$

To prove the case when $m = 2$, we have to find the largest Υ such that

$$(6.8) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\Upsilon)} |a_{k,1}| |a_{k,2}| \leq 1,$$

or such that

$$(6.9) \quad \frac{|a_{k,1}| |a_{k,2}|}{(B-A)(p-\Upsilon)} \leq \frac{\sqrt{|a_{k,1}| |a_{k,2}|}}{\sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^2 (B-A)(p-\sigma_j)}},$$

this, equivalently, that

$$(6.10) \quad \sqrt{|a_{k,1}| |a_{k,2}|} \leq \frac{(B-A)(p-\Upsilon)}{\sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^2 (B-A)(p-\sigma_j)}}.$$

Further, by using (6.7), we need to find the largest Υ such that

$$\frac{\sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^2 (B-A)(p-\sigma_j)}}{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} \leq \frac{(B-A)(p-\Upsilon)}{\sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^2 (B-A)(p-\sigma_j)}}$$

or, equivalently, that

$$(6.11) \quad \frac{1}{(B-A)(p-\Upsilon)} \leq \frac{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{\prod_{j=1}^2 (B-A)(p-\sigma_j)}.$$

It follows from (6.9) that

$$(6.12) \quad \Upsilon \leq p - \frac{\prod_{j=1}^2 (B-A)(p-\sigma_j)}{(B-A)k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}.$$

Now, defining the function $\psi(k)$ by

$$(6.13) \quad \psi(k) = p - \frac{\prod_{j=1}^2 (B-A)(p-\sigma_j)}{(B-A)k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)},$$

we see that $\psi'(k) \geq 0$ for $k \geq p+n$. This implies that

$$\Upsilon \leq \psi(n+p) = p - \frac{\prod_{j=1}^2 (B-A)(p-\sigma_j)}{(B-A)(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}.$$

Therefore, the result is true for $m = 2$.

Suppose that the result is true for any positive integer m . Then we have $(f_1 \bullet f_2 \bullet \dots \bullet f_m \bullet f_{m+1})(z) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta}(A, B; \gamma, p)$, when

$$\gamma = p - \frac{(B-A)(p-\Upsilon)(B-A)(p-\sigma_{m+1})}{(B-A)(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}$$

where Υ is given by (6.4). After a simple calculation, we have

$$\gamma \leq p - \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m+1} (B-A)(p-\sigma_j)}{(B-A)[(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)]^m}.$$

Thus, the result is true for $m+1$. Therefore, by using the mathematical induction, we conclude that the result is true for any positive integer m .

Finally, taking the functions $f_j(z)$ defined by (6.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f_1 \bullet f_2 \bullet \dots \bullet f_m)(z) &= z^p - \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{(B-A)(p-\sigma_j)}{(p+n)(1+B)\Phi_p^{p+n}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} \right\} z^{p+n} \\ &= z^p - A_{p+n} z^{p+n}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=p+n}^{\infty} \frac{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\Upsilon)} A_k &= \frac{(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\Upsilon)} A_{p+n} \\ &= \frac{(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\Upsilon)} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^2 \frac{(B-A)(p-\sigma_j)}{(p+n)(1+B)\Phi_p^{p+n}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the result is sharp.

Putting $\sigma_j = \sigma$ ($j = \overline{1, m}$) in Theorem 6.1, we have;

Corollary 6.2. If $f_j(z) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta}(A, B; \sigma, p)$ ($j = \overline{1, m}$), then

$$(f_1 \bullet f_2 \bullet \dots \bullet f_m)(z) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta}(A, B; \Upsilon, p),$$

where

$$\Upsilon := p - \frac{[(B-A)(p-\sigma)]^m}{(B-A)[(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)]^{m-1}}.$$

The result is sharp for the functions $f_j(z)$ given by

$$f_j(z) = \frac{(B-A)(p-\sigma)}{(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} z^{p+n} \quad (j = \overline{1, m}).$$

Remark 6.1. For special values of parameters $\lambda, \mu, l, \delta, \sigma, A, B, n$ and p , our results reduce to several well-known results as follows:

- (1) Putting $A = -1$, $B = 1$, $\delta = 0$ and $m = 2$ in Theorem 6.1, we obtain the corresponding results of Yaguchi *et al.* [55] and Aouf and Darwish [7] for $n = 1$.
- (2) Putting $A = -1$, $B = 1$, $\delta = 0$ and $m = 2$ in Corollary 6.2, we obtain the corresponding results of Lee *et al.* [26] and for $n = 1$ and Sekine and Owa [44] for $p = 1$.
- (3) Putting $A = -1$, $B = 1$, $\delta = 0$ and $m = 3$ in Corollary 6.2, we obtain the corresponding result due to Aouf and Darwish [7] for $n = 1$.
- (4) Putting $A = -\beta$, $B = \beta$, $\delta = 0$ and $\sigma = \alpha$ in Theorem 6.1, we obtain the corresponding result due to Aouf [5].

Theorem 6.2. Let the function $f_j(z)$ ($j = \overline{1, m}$) given by (6.1) be in the class $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta}(A, B; \sigma_j, p)$. Then the function

$$(6.14) \quad h(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |a_{k,j}|^2 \right) z^k$$

belongs to the class $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^{\delta}(A, B; \chi, p)$, where

$$(6.15) \quad \chi := p - \frac{m(B-A)(p-\sigma^*)^2}{(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} \quad (\sigma^* := \min\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m\}).$$

The result is sharp for the functions $f_j(z)$ ($j = \overline{1, m}$) given by (6.5).

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 3.1 we have

$$(6.16) \quad \sum_{k=p+n}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\sigma_j)} \right\}^2 |a_{k,j}|^2 \leq \left\{ \sum_{k=p+n}^{\infty} \frac{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\sigma_j)} |a_{k,j}| \right\}^2 \leq 1.$$

Then it follows that for ($j = \overline{1, m}$),

$$(6.17) \quad \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=p+n}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\sigma_j)} \right\}^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |a_{k,j}|^2 \right) \leq 1.$$

Therefore, we need to find the largest χ such that

$$(6.18) \quad \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=p+n}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\chi)} \right\}^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |a_{k,j}|^2 \right) \leq 1.$$

This implies that

$$(6.19) \quad \chi \leq p - \frac{m(B-A)(p-\sigma^*)^2}{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} \quad (\sigma^* := \min\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m\}, \quad k \geq p+n).$$

Now, defining the function $\Im(k)$ by

$$(6.20) \quad \Im(k) := p - \frac{m(B-A)(p-\sigma^*)^2}{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)},$$

we see that $\Im(k)$ is an increasing function of k , $k \geq p+n$. Setting $k = p+n$ in (6.19) we have

$$\chi \leq \Im(n+p) := p - \frac{m(B-A)(p-\sigma^*)^2}{(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 6.2.

Setting $\sigma_j = \sigma$ ($j = \overline{1, m}$), in Theorem 6.2, we arrive at the following result.

Corollary 6.3. Let the functions $f_j(z)$ ($j = \overline{1, m}$) given by (6.1) be in the class $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$. Then the function

$$h(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |a_{k,j}|^2 \right) z^k$$

belongs to the class $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \chi, p)$, where

$$\chi := p - \frac{m(B-A)(p-\sigma)^2}{(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}.$$

The result is sharp for the functions $f_j(z)$ ($j = \overline{1, m}$) given by (6.5).

Remark 6.2.

- (1) Putting $A = -1$, $B = 1$, $\delta = 0$ and $m = 2$ in Theorem 6.2, we obtain the corresponding results of Yaguchi *et al.* [55].
- (2) Putting $A = -1$, $B = 1$, $\delta = 0$ and $m = 2$ in Corollary 6.3, we obtain the corresponding results of Aouf and Darwish [7] for $n = 1$, Sekine and Owa [44] for $p = 1$.
- (3) Putting $A = -\beta$, $B = \beta$, $\delta = 0$ and $\sigma = \alpha$ in Theorem 6.2, we obtain the corresponding result due to Aouf [5].

7. APPLICATIONS OF FRACTIONAL CALCULUS OPERATORS

Various operators of fractional calculus (that is, fractional integral and fractional derivatives) have been studied in the literature rather extensively (*cf.*, *e.g.*, [37, 50, 53]; see also [14, 51] the various references cited therein). For our present investigation, we recall the following definitions.

Definition 7.1. Let $f(z)$ be analytic in a simply connected region of the z -plane containing the origin. The fractional integral of f of order ν is defined by

$$(7.1) \quad \mathcal{D}_z^{-\nu} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)^{1-\nu}} d\zeta \quad (\nu > 0),$$

where the multiplicity of $(z-\zeta)^{\nu-1}$ is removed by requiring that $\log(z-\zeta)$ is real for $z-\zeta > 0$.

Definition 7.2. Let $f(z)$ be analytic in a simply connected region of the z -plane containing the origin. The fractional derivative of f of order ν is defined by

$$(7.2) \quad \mathcal{D}_z^\nu f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\nu)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)^\nu} d\zeta \quad (0 \leq \nu < 1),$$

where the multiplicity of $(z-\zeta)^{-\nu}$ is removed by requiring that $\log(z-\zeta)$ is real for $z-\zeta > 0$.

Definition 7.3. Under the hypotheses of Definition 7.2, the fractional derivative of order $n+\nu$ is defined, for a function $f(z)$, by

$$(7.3) \quad \mathcal{D}_z^{n+\nu} f(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} \{ \mathcal{D}_z^\nu f(z) \} \quad (0 \leq \nu < 1; \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

In this section, we shall investigate the growth and distortion properties of functions in the class $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda, \mu, l}^\delta(A, B; \sigma, p)$, which involving the operators $\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta, p}$ and $\mathcal{D}_z^\nu$. In order to derive our results, we need the following lemma given by Chen *et al.* [14].

Lemma 7.1 (*see* [14]). Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.10). Then

$$(7.4) \quad \mathcal{D}_z^\nu \{ (\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta, p} f)(z) \} = \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1-\nu)} z^{p-\nu} - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\vartheta+p)\Gamma(k+1)}{(\vartheta+k)\Gamma(k+1-\nu)} a_k z^{k-\nu}$$

$$(\nu \in \mathbb{R}; \vartheta > -p; p, n \in \mathbb{N})$$

and

$$(7.5) \quad \mathcal{I}_{\vartheta,p}\{(\mathcal{D}_z^\nu f)(z)\} = \frac{(\vartheta+p)\Gamma(p+1)}{(\vartheta+p-\nu)\Gamma(p+1-\nu)}z^{p-\nu} - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\vartheta+p)\Gamma(k+1)}{(\vartheta+k-\nu)\Gamma(k+1-\nu)}a_k z^{k-\nu}$$

$$(\nu \in \mathbb{R}; \vartheta > -p; p, n \in \mathbb{N})$$

provided that no zeros appear in the denominators in (7.4) and (7.5).

Theorem 7.1. Let the functions $f(z)$ defined by (1.10) be in the class $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda,\mu,l}^\delta(A; B; \sigma, p)$. Then

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{D}_z^{-\nu}\{(\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta,p}f)(z)\}| \\ & \geq \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1+\nu)} - \frac{(\vartheta+p)\Gamma(n+p+1)(B-A)(p-\sigma)}{(\vartheta+n+p)\Gamma(n+p+1+\nu)(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} |z|^n \right\} |z|^{p+\nu} \\ & \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; \nu > 0; \vartheta > -p; p, n \in \mathbb{N}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{D}_z^{-\nu}\{(\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta,p}f)(z)\}| \\ & \leq \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1+\nu)} + \frac{(\vartheta+p)\Gamma(n+p+1)(B-A)(p-\sigma)}{(\vartheta+n+p)\Gamma(n+p+1+\nu)(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} |z|^n \right\} |z|^{p+\nu} \\ & \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; \nu > 0; \vartheta > -p; p, n \in \mathbb{N}). \end{aligned}$$

Each of the assertions (7.6) and (7.7) is sharp.

Proof. In view of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$(7.8) \quad \frac{(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\sigma)} \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{k(1+B)\Phi_p^k(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}{(B-A)(p-\sigma)} |a_k| \leq 1,$$

which readily yields

$$(7.9) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq \frac{(B-A)(p-\sigma)}{(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}.$$

Consider the function $\mathcal{F}(z)$ defined in $\mathcal{U}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(z) &= \frac{\Gamma(p+1-\nu)}{\Gamma(p+1)} z^{-\nu} \mathcal{D}_z^\nu \{(\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta,p}f)(z)\} \\ &= z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\vartheta+p)\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1+\nu)}{(\vartheta+k)\Gamma(k+1+\nu)\Gamma(p+1)} |a_k| z^k \\ &= z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \Theta(k) |a_k| z^k \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(7.10) \quad \Theta(k) := \frac{(\vartheta+p)\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1+\nu)}{(\vartheta+k)\Gamma(k+1+\nu)\Gamma(p+1)} \quad (k \geq p+n; p, n \in \mathbb{N}; \nu > 0).$$

Since $\Theta(k)$ is a *decreasing* function of k when $\nu > 0$, we get

$$(7.11) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 < \Theta(k) &\leq \Theta(n+p) = \frac{(\vartheta+p)\Gamma(n+p+1)\Gamma(p+1+\nu)}{(\vartheta+n+p)\Gamma(n+p+1+\nu)\Gamma(p+1)} \\ &\quad (\nu > 0; \vartheta > -p; p, n \in \mathbb{N}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by using (7.9) and (7.11), for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}(z)| &\geq |z|^p - \Theta(n+p) |z|^{n+p} \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} |a_k| \\ &\geq |z|^p - \frac{(\vartheta+p)\Gamma(n+p+1)\Gamma(p+1+\nu)(B-A)(p-\sigma)}{(\vartheta+n+p)\Gamma(n+p+1+\nu)\Gamma(p+1)(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} |z|^{n+p} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}(z)| &\leq |z|^p + \Theta(n+p) |z|^{n+p} \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} |a_k| \\ &\leq |z|^p + \frac{(\vartheta+p)\Gamma(n+p+1)\Gamma(p+1+\nu)(B-A)(p-\sigma)}{(\vartheta+n+p)\Gamma(n+p+1+\nu)\Gamma(p+1)(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} |z|^{n+p} \end{aligned}$$

which yield the inequalities (7.6) and (7.7) of Theorem 7.1. Equalities in (7.6) and (7.7) are attained for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_z^{-\nu}\{(\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta,p}f)(z)\} &= \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1+\nu)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{(\vartheta+p)\Gamma(n+p+1)(B-A)(p-\sigma)}{(\vartheta+n+p)\Gamma(n+p+1+\nu)(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} z^n \right\} z^{p+\nu} \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently, by

$$(\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta,p}f)(z) = z^p - \frac{(\vartheta+p)(B-A)(p-\sigma)}{(\vartheta+n+p)(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} z^{n+p}.$$

Thus, we complete the proof of Theorem 7.1.

Theorem 7.2. Let the functions $f(z)$ defined by (1.10) be in the class $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda,\mu,l}^{\delta}(A, B; \sigma, p)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathcal{D}_z^{\nu}\{(\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta,p}f)(z)\}| \\ &\geq \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1-\nu)} z^{p-\nu} - \frac{(\vartheta+p)\Gamma(n+p)(B-A)(p-\sigma)}{(\vartheta+n+p)\Gamma(n+p+1-\nu)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} |z|^n \right\} |z|^{p-\nu} \\ &\quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; \nu > 0; \vartheta > -p; p, n \in \mathbb{N}) \end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathcal{D}_z^{\nu}\{(\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta,p}f)(z)\}| \\ &\leq \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1-\nu)} z^{p-\nu} + \frac{(\vartheta+p)\Gamma(n+p)(B-A)(p-\sigma)}{(\vartheta+n+p)\Gamma(n+p+1-\nu)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} |z|^n \right\} |z|^{p-\nu} \\ &\quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; \nu > 0; \vartheta > -p; p, n \in \mathbb{N}). \end{aligned} \tag{7.13}$$

Each of the assertions (7.12) and (7.13) is sharp.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that

$$(7.14) \quad \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k |a_k| \leq \frac{(B-A)(p-\sigma)}{(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)}.$$

Consider the function $\mathcal{Q}(z)$ defined in $\mathcal{U}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}(z) &= \frac{\Gamma(p+1-\nu)}{\Gamma(p+1)} z^{\nu} \mathcal{D}_z^{\nu}\{(\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta,p}f)(z)\} \\ &= z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \frac{(\vartheta+p)\Gamma(k)\Gamma(p+1-\nu)}{(\vartheta+k)\Gamma(k+1-\nu)\Gamma(p+1)} k |a_k| z^k \\ &= z^p - \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} \wp(k) k |a_k| z^k \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}) \end{aligned}$$

where, for convenience,

$$(7.15) \quad \wp(k) := \frac{(\vartheta+p)\Gamma(k)\Gamma(p+1-\nu)}{(\vartheta+k)\Gamma(k+1-\nu)\Gamma(p+1)} \quad (k \geq p+n; p, n \in \mathbb{N}; 0 \leq \nu < 1).$$

Since $\wp(k)$ is a *decreasing* function of k when $0 \leq \nu < 1$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} (7.16) \quad 0 < \wp(k) &\leq \wp(n+p) = \frac{(\vartheta+p)\Gamma(n+p)\Gamma(p+1-\nu)}{(\vartheta+n+p)\Gamma(n+p+1-\nu)\Gamma(p+1)} \\ &\quad (0 \leq \nu < 1; \vartheta > -p; p, n \in \mathbb{N}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, with the aid of (7.14) and (7.16), for all $z \in \mathcal{U}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{Q}(z)| &\geq |z|^p - \wp(n+p) |z|^{n+p} \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k |a_k| \\ &\geq |z|^p - \frac{(\vartheta+p)\Gamma(n+p)\Gamma(p+1-\nu)(B-A)(p-\sigma)}{(\vartheta+n+p)\Gamma(n+p+1-\nu)\Gamma(p+1)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} |z|^{n+p} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{Q}(z)| &\leq |z|^p + \wp(n+p) |z|^{n+p} \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} k |a_k| \\ &\leq |z|^p + \frac{(\vartheta+p)\Gamma(n+p)\Gamma(p+1-\nu)(B-A)(p-\sigma)}{(\vartheta+n+p)\Gamma(n+p+1-\nu)\Gamma(p+1)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} |z|^{n+p} \end{aligned}$$

which yield the inequalities (7.15) and (7.16) of Theorem 7.2. Equalities in (7.15) and (7.16) are attained for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{D}_z^\nu \{(\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta,p}f)(z)\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(p+1-\nu)} - \frac{(\vartheta+p)\Gamma(n+p+1)(B-A)(p-\sigma)}{(\vartheta+n+p)\Gamma(n+p+1-\nu)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} z^n \right\} z^{p-\nu} \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently, by

$$(\mathcal{I}_{\vartheta,p}f)(z) = z^p - \frac{(\vartheta+p)(B-A)(p-\sigma)}{(\vartheta+n+p)(n+p)(1+B)\Phi_p^{n+p}(\delta, \lambda, \mu, l)} z^{n+p}.$$

Consequently, we complete the proof of Theorem 7.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Acu and S. Owa, Note on a class of starlike functions, RIMS, CityplaceKyoto, 2006.
- [2] F.M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 27(1) (2004), 429-436.
- [3] M.K. Aouf, On certain subclass of analytic p -valent functions. II, Math. Japon., 34 (1989), 683-691.
- [4] ———, A generalization of multivalent functions with negative coefficients. II, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 25 (1988), 221-232.
- [5] ———, The Quasi- Hadamard products of certain p -valent functions with negative coefficients, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 44(4) (2007), 221-232.
- [6] ———, Certain classes of p -valent functions with negative coefficients. II, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 19 (1988), 761-767.
- [7] M.K. Aouf and H.E. Darwish, Basic properties and characterizations of a certain class of analytic functions with negative coefficients II, Utilitas Math., 46 (1994), 167-177.
- [8] M. K. Aouf, H. Silverman and H. M. Srivastava, Some families of linear operators associated with certain subclasses of multivalent functions, Comput. Math. with Appl., 55 (2008), 535-549.
- [9] S.D. Bernardi, Convex and starlike univalent functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 135 (1969), 429-446.
- [10] T. R. Caplinger and W. M. Causey, A class of univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 39 (1973), 357-361.
- [11] A. Cataş, Neighborhoods of a certain class of analytic functions with negative coefficients, Banach J. Math. Anal., 3(1) (2009), no. 1, 111-121.
- [12] A. Cataş, G. I. Oros, G. Oros, Differential subordinations associated with multiplier transformations, Abstr. Appl. Anal., (2008), Art. ID 845724, 11 pp.
- [13] M. P. Chen, A class of p -valent functions, Soochow J. Math., 8 (1982), 15-26.
- [14] M. P. Chen, H. Irmak and H.M. Srivastava, Some families of multivalently analytic functions with negative coefficients, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 214 (1997), 674-690.
- [15] N. E. Cho and H. M. Srivastava, Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations, Math. Comput. Modelling, 37(1-2) (2003), 39-49.
- [16] N. E. Cho and T. H. Kim, Multiplier transformations and strongly close-to-convex functions, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 40(3) (2003), 399-410.
- [17] E. Deniz and H. Orhan, Some properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions with negative coefficients by using generalized Ruscheweyh derivative operator, (accepted in Czechoslovak Math. J.)
- [18] ———, The Fekete-Szegő problem for a generalized subclass of analytic functions, Kyungpook Math. J. 50 (2010), 37-47.
- [19] L. Fejer, Untersuchungen über Potenzreihen mit mehrfach monotoner Koeffizientenfolge, Acta Litterarum Sci., 8 (1936), 89-115.
- [20] R. M. Goel, A class of analytic functions whose derivatives have positive real part in the unit disc, Indian J. Math., 13 (1971), 141-145.
- [21] A. W. Goodman, Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 8 (1957), 598-601.
- [22] V.P. Gupta and P.K. Jain, Certain classes of univalent functions with negative coefficients. II, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 14 (1976), 467-473.
- [23] O.P. Juneja and M.L. Mogra, A class of univalent functions, Bull. Sci. Math., 2 serie 103 (1979), 435-447.
- [24] H. S. Kim and S. K. Lee, Some classes of univalent functions, Math. Japon., 5(32) (1987), 781-796.
- [25] O. S. Kwon, Subordination properties of p -valent functions defined by generalized Salagean operator, Proc. Int. Symp. On development of geometric function theory and its applications, in placecountry-regionMalaysia, (2008), 180-187.
- [26] S.K. Lee, S. Owa and H.M. Srivastava, Basic properties and characterizations of a certain class of analytic functions with negative coefficients, Utilitas Math., 36 (1989), 121-128.
- [27] R.J. Libera, Some classes of regular univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 16 (1969), 755-758.
- [28] M. Liu, On a subclass of p -valent close-to-convex functions of order β and type α , J. Math. Study, 30(1) (1997), 102-104.

- [29] J. -L. Liu, Further properties of a certain subclass of analytic and multivalent functions, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 187(1) (2007), 290–294.
- [30] A.E. Livingston, On the radius of univalence of certain analytic functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 17 (1966), 352–357.
- [31] T.H. Mac Gregor, Functions whose derivative has a positive real part, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 104 (1962), 532–537.
- [32] B.S. Mehrotra, A class of univalent functions, *Tamkang J. Math.*, 13 (1982), 141–155.
- [33] H. Orhan, On neighborhoods of analytic functions defined by using hadamard product, *Novi Sad J. Math.*, 37(1) (2007), 17–25.
- [34] ———, Neighborhoods of a certain class of p -valent functions with negative coefficients defined by using a differential operator, *Math. Ineq. Appl.*, 12(2) (2009), 335–349.
- [35] S. Owa, On certain subclass of analytic p -valent functions, *Math. Japon.*, 29 (1984), 191–198.
- [36] ———, On certain subclasses of analytic p -valent functions, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, 20(1) (1983), 41–58.
- [37] ———, On distortion theorems, I, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 18 (1978), 55–59.
- [38] K. S. Padmanabhan, On a certain class of functions whose derivatives have a positive real part in the unit disc, *Ann Polon. Math.*, 23 (1970), 73–81.
- [39] D. Raducanu and H. Orhan, Subclasses of Analytic Functions Defined by a Generalized Differential Operator, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, 4(1) (2010), 1–15
- [40] S. Ruscheweyh, Neighborhoods of univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 81(4) (1981), 521–527.
- [41] ———, *Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory*, Les Presses Univ. de Montreal, 1982.
- [42] G. S. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, *Lecture notes in Math.*, (Springer-Verlag), 1013 (1983), 362–372.
- [43] S. M. Sarangi and B. A. Uralegaddi, The radius of convexity and starlikeness for certain classes of analytic functions with negative coefficients. I, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.*, 8(65)(1-2) (1978), 38–42.
- [44] T. Sekine and S. Owa, Note on a class of functions whose derivative has a positive real part, *Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liege*, 54(4-5) (1985), 203–210.
- [45] G. M. Shenan, T. O. Salim and M. S. Marouf, A certain class of multivalent prestarlike functions involving the Srivastava-Saigo-Owa fractional integral operator, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 44 (2004), 353–362
- [46] S.L. Shukla, Dashrath, On certain classes of multivalent functions with negative coefficients, *Soochow J. Math.*, 8 (1982), 179–188.
- [47] H. Silverman, Partial sums of starlike and convex functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 209 (1997), 221–227.
- [48] S. Sivaprasad Kumar, H. C. Taneja and V. Ravichandran, Classes of multivalent functions defined by Dziok-Srivastava linear operator and multiplier transformations, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 46 (2006), 97–109.
- [49] N. S. Sohi, A class of p -valent analytic functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 10 (1979), 826–834.
- [50] H. M. Srivastava and J. Patel, Some subclasses of multivalent functions involving a certain linear operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 310 (2005), 209–228.
- [51] H. M. Srivastava and M.K. Aouf, A certain fractional derivative operator and its applications to a new class of analytic and multivalent functions with negative coefficients. I and II, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 171 (1992), 1–13; *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 192 (1995), 673–688.
- [52] H. M. Srivastava and H. Orhan, Coefficient inequalities and inclusion relations for some families of analytic and multivalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 20 (2007), 686–691.
- [53] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Eds.), *Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and their Applications*, Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), 1989, John Wiley and Sons, place State New York.
- [54] B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, Certain classes of univalent functions, *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, (H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa Eds.), pp. 371–374, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London, Hong Kong, 1992.
- [55] T. Yaguchi, O. Kwon, N. E. Cho, and R. Yamakawa, A generalization class of certain subclasses of p -valently analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Surikaiseikenkyusho Kokyuroku*, 821 (1993), 101–111.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, ATATURK UNIVERSITY, 25240 ERZURUM, TURKEY

E-mail address: edeniz@atauni.edu.tr

E-mail address: horhan@atauni.edu.tr